

Twin Falls, Idaho
REGULAR MARCH MEETING
March 18, 2024, 8:00 a.m.

Chairman Hall called the Board of County Commissioners to order at 8:00 a.m. in regular session, pursuant to the recess of March 15, 2024.

PRESENT: Commissioner Jack Johnson, Commissioner Brent Reinke, and Commissioner Don Hall.

ABSENT: None.

STAFF: Deputy Clerk Shannon Carter.

The following proceedings were held to wit:

In the Matter of MEETINGS

Commissioners met with office staff for a weekly department meeting.

Commissioners met with Legal for a weekly department meeting.

Commissioner Reinke attended a Castleford Men's Club meeting.

Commissioners met with P&Z staff to discuss ordinance updates.

Commissioner Reinke attended a MVL Board meeting.

In the Matter of CONSENT AGENDA

Commissioners considered the Consent Agenda; items may include status sheets, employee requisitions, alcohol licenses, tax cancellations, Sheriff's Office Security Agreements, and Commissioner minutes.

No items to consider.

In the Matter of VACATION HOURS EXTENSION

Commissioners considered a request to reinstate 15.92 hours to employee, Andrew Havens' extended vacation bank.

Jon Laux, CDS Direct reviewed the request to reinstate 15.92 hours to employee Andrew Havens' extended vacation bank with the Board. Mr. Laux noted that Andrew Havens inadvertently let 15.92 hours expire on March 8, 2024. Mr. Havens stated he will be able to use the time in the next 60 days. Commissioner Hall noted that he has concerns with reinstating the lost hours as Mr. Havens has had the opportunity to use the time and just forgot the date. Commissioner Johnson noted that the employee has not asked for an extension previously so he would be in favor of the request if the rest of the Board agrees.

Commissioner Johnson made a MOTION to approve the request to reinstate 15.92 hours to Andrew Havens' extended vacation bank to be used within 60 days. Commissioner Reinke SECONDED. Discussion Commissioner Hall asked Mr. Laux to explain the approval to Mr.

Havens and the unlikeliness of approval for an additional request in the future. Motion Passed Unanimously.

In the Matter of AIRPORT

Commissioners considered two Idaho Transportation Department Grant Agreements for the Airport.

Mr. Bill Carberry, Airport Director reviewed the grant agreements with the Board. There was discussion regarding some of the language in the grant agreements that require hangars to be used for aviation purposes and events not interfering with the airport purpose.

Commissioner Reinke made a MOTION to approve an ITD Grant agreement in the amount of \$20,000.00 and authorize the Chairman to sign the documents on behalf of the Board. Commissioner Johnson SECONDED. Motion Passed Unanimously.

Commissioner Reinke made a MOTION to approve an ITD Grant agreement in the amount of \$1,000,000.00 and authorize the Chairman to sign the documents on behalf of the Board. Commissioner Johnson SECONDED. Motion Passed Unanimously.

In the Matter of BIDS

Commissioners opened the Bids for the Centennial Park Concessionaire Lease.

Commissioner Hall opened the bid for the Centennial Park Concessionaire Lease.

AWOL – \$17,500.00.

Commissioner Hall recommended that Rocky Matthews, Parks and Waterways Director, review the bid and make a recommendation to the Board.

Commissioner Johnson made a MOTION to take the information under consideration and issue a decision on Thursday, March 21, 2024. Commissioner Reinke SECONDED. Motion Passed Unanimously.

There being no further business, the Board recessed until 8:00 a.m., March 19, 2024, at the Commissioners Chambers, second floor of the Twin Falls County West Facility, 630 Addison Ave West, Twin Falls, Idaho, for the transaction of further business of the Board.

Twin Falls, Idaho
REGULAR MARCH MEETING
March 19, 2024, 8:00 a.m.

Chairman Hall called the Board of County Commissioners to order at 8:00 a.m. in regular session, pursuant to the recess of March 18, 2024.

PRESENT: Commissioner Jack Johnson, Commissioner Brent Reinke, and
Commissioner Don Hall.

ABSENT: None.

STAFF: Deputy Clerk Shannon Carter.

The following proceedings were held to wit:

In the Matter of MEETINGS

Commissioners attended a Joining Forces Magic Valley meeting.

Commissioner Reinke attended a Twin Falls Area Chamber of Commerce Board meeting via Teams.

Commissioner Reinke attended a monthly Service Provider meeting.

Commissioners met with Jackie Frey, Emergency Services Director, for a quarterly department update.

In the Matter of COMMISSIONER PROCEEDINGS

Commissioner Hall made a MOTION to go into executive session at 9:00 a.m. pursuant to Idaho Code §74-206 (D) records exempt from disclosure - hospital care, medical records. Commissioner Reinke SECONDED. Motion passed after roll call vote. (Hall yes, Johnson yes, Reinke yes)

Commissioners returned to regular session at 9:12 a.m.

In the Matter of INDIGENT

Commissioners considered County Assistance applications.

Commissioner Johnson made a MOTION to approve a counter offer in the amount of \$2,500.00 to be paid to ACT; a release of lien and a new consent to lien with \$25.00 per month payback and 50% of future tax refunds for case number 97432. Commissioner Reinke SECONDED. Motion Passed Unanimously.

Commissioner Reinke made a MOTION to release the lien, reduce the balance to zero, and close case number 100269. Commissioner Johnson SECONDED. Discussion Commissioner Reinke noted the client is deceased. Motion Passed Unanimously.

Commissioner Johnson made a MOTION to release the lien, reduce the balance to zero, and close case number 100752. Commissioner Reinke SECONDED. Discussion Commissioner Johnson noted the client is deceased. Motion Passed Unanimously.

Commissioner Reinke made a MOTION to release the lien, reduce the balance to zero, and close case number 103541. Commissioner Johnson SECONDED. Discussion Commissioner Reinke noted the client is deceased. Motion Passed Unanimously.

Commissioner Johnson made a MOTION to release the lien, reduce the balance to zero, and close case number 103683. Commissioner Reinke SECONDED. Discussion Commissioner Johnson noted the client is deceased. Motion Passed Unanimously.

Commissioner Reinke made a MOTION to approve case number 104708 for \$950.00 for cremation at Wilkes Magic Valley Funeral Chapel. Commissioner Johnson SECONDED. Discussion Commissioner Reinke noted the individual was indigent and was a Twin Falls County resident. Motion Passed Unanimously.

Commissioner Johnson made a MOTION to approve case number 104709. Commissioner Reinke SECONDED. Discussion Commissioner Johnson noted the client is several months behind in rent and does not have a plan for future rent payments. Motion Failed Unanimously.

In the Matter of CONSENT AGENDA

Commissioners considered the Consent Agenda; items may include status sheets, employee requisitions, alcohol licenses, tax cancellations, Sheriff's Office Security Agreements, and Commissioner minutes.

Commissioner Johnson made a MOTION to approve the Consent Agenda. Commissioner Reinke SECONDED. Discussion Commissioner Johnson reviewed the items in the Consent Agenda to include a Status Sheet for the Public Defender's Office. Motion Passed Unanimously.

In the Matter of RESOLUTIONS

Commissioners considered Resolution No. 2024-023, Transfer of Funds.

Beck Petersen, Treasurer reviewed the resolution with the Board. The transfer is needed due to a change in the property tax relief funds from the State. There was discussion on the issue that necessitated the transfer.

Commissioner Reinke made a MOTION to approve Resolution No. 2024-023, Transfer of Funds. Commissioner Johnson SECONDED. Motion Passed Unanimously.

RESOLUTION NO. 2024-023

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS FOR TWIN FALLS COUNTY, IDAHO, TRANSFERRING FUNDS FROM FUNDS TRANSFER FUND 100 TO CIRCUIT BREAKER FUND 195

WHEREAS, Twin Falls County will receive Additional Tax Relief Funds (ATR) per Idaho Code §57-810(2) for 2023 property tax in the amount of \$1,203,792.50 from the State of Idaho; and

WHEREAS, the dollar amount received November 1, 2023, was calculated by the State Tax Commission per Idaho Code §63-724 and was used to calculate the 2023 tax credits for property owners; and

WHEREAS, an error was discovered on December 6, 2023, by the Assessor's office which resulted in an incorrect net market value affecting six (6) parcels in Twin Falls County

(see attached Exhibit A) causing the property taxes to be recalculated on those parcels and corrected tax bills to be sent; and

WHEREAS, the ATR credit was short in the amount of \$7,394.36 as a result of the corrected data and recalculation of property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the ATR credit was short in the amount of \$1,306.72 after calculating the 2023 Sub and Missed Property Rolls in February; and

WHEREAS, the dollar amount set by the State Tax Commission for ATR was a set amount and additional funds to compensate for the error will not be remitted to the county; and

WHEREAS, a portion of the Homeowners Tax Relief Fund in the amount of \$572.56 was used to assist in remedying the deficit, but additional funds are still needed:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Twin Falls County Board of Commissioners unanimously adopts this resolution and authorizes the Twin Fall County Treasurer to transfer \$8,128.52 from the Funds Transfer Fund 100.300.00.099.09 to the Circuit Breaker Fund 195.395.00.026.00.

DATED this 19th day of March, 2024.

/s/ Don Hall
Don Hall, Chairman

/s/ Jack Johnson
Jack Johnson, Commissioner

/s/ Brent Reinke
Brent Reinke, Commissioner

ATTEST:

/s/ Kristina Glascock
Kristina Glascock, Clerk

In the Matter of GRANTS

Commissioners considered a BLM grant addendum for the Weeds Department.

Kali Sherrill, Weeds Director reviewed the BLM grant addendum with the Board.

Commissioner Johnson made a MOTION to approve the BLM grant addendum for the Weeds Department and authorize the Chairman to sign the documents on behalf of the Board. Commissioner Reinke SECONDED. Discussion Commissioner Johnson noted Legal has reviewed the documents and had no concerns. Motion Passed Unanimously.

In the Matter of ZONING

Commissioners conducted a Public Hearing to consider an appeal of the P&Z Commission's decision to deny a Conditional Use Permit Application for Hanfen Inc.

Commissioner Hall opened the Public Hearing at 1:00 p.m.

Commissioner Hall reviewed the record on appeal and the documents submitted as part of the record and the laws considered to include:

- The Conditional Use Permit Application Packet including: staff reports, agency letters, and the Planning and Zoning Commission's Finding of Facts.
- Appeal Application Packet including: the appeal application; written statement explaining the basis for the appeal; written statements of respondents; and the transcript of the planning and zoning commission proceedings.

Statutes, ordinances, and standards considered:

- Title 10 of the Twin Falls County regarding Subdivisions.
- Title 8, Chapter 20 of Twin Falls County Code regarding Appeals.
- Title 8, Chapter 7 of Twin Falls County Code regarding Conditional Uses.
- Title 8, Chapter 16 of Twin Falls County Code regarding Telecommunication Towers.
- The Twin Falls County Comprehensive Plan.
- Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Commissioner Hall swore in all parties giving testimony and affected persons.

Cameron Beazer and Jon Laux, Community Development Services (CDS) Department staff.

Rock Schutjer, Applicant

Kevin Kjar
Joyce Rogers
Brian Hansen
Jon Laux
Jim Campbell

Cameron Beazer, CDS Staff reviewed the application, the process followed by the CDS Department, comment letters and the Planning and Zoning Commission's hearing and decision. Mr. Beazer noted that the agencies of record were not notified by the appellant of the appeal nor were persons that attended the P&Z hearing for other items on the agenda.

Questions asked of CDS Staff

A. Appeal Procedure

1. Was this matter a decision that may be appealed pursuant to TFCC 8-20-2? Yes.
 - i. Was it a final decision of the Planning and Zoning Commission? Yes.
 - ii. When was that decision made? At the public hearing on 11.22.2023 with the written decision approved on 12.12.23.
2. Did you receive an appeal application in this matter? Yes.
 - i. Who filed the appeal? Matthew Schutjer
 - ii. Are they a person who may file an appeal pursuant to TFCC 8-20-3? Yes.
3. When did you receive the Notice of Appeal? 12.22.23.
 - i. Was the appeal application timely filed? Yes.
 - ii. Was the Appeal application complete? Yes.
4. Did the Applicant provide your office with proof of personal or mailed service of the notice of appeal to all required parties pursuant to TFCC 8-20-6-C? No, political subdivisions were not noticed.
5. Did the Applicant provide a written statement in support of their application? Yes.
6. Did any Respondent(s) provide a written statement in opposition or support of the appeal? Yes, two written comments received.

B. Conditional Use Permit Application

1. Where is the property located? 1.5 miles north of Pleasant Valley Golf Course/Stricker Butte.
 - i. Is it located in an Area of City Impact? No.
2. What is the property zoned? Agricultural Zone.
 - i. Is a Telecommunications Tower a permitted use in that zone? Yes. Allowed by conditional use permit.
 - ii. Is a Conditional Use Permit required for the Tower? Yes.
3. Did the CUP Application satisfy the standards for special uses set forth in TFCC 8-? Yes.
4. Did the Planning and Zoning Commission approve the Conditional Use Permit Application? No.
 - i. What were the reasons they gave for their decision? Insufficient evidence submitted, inability to collocate and no information on how it would improve signal strength.

- ii. What express standards were their decision based upon? Title 8, Chapter 16 Section 1, 13, and 14.

C. Telecommunications Act of 1996

1. Did they analyze the requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996? It was discussed, but was not included in denial decision.
2. Did the P&Z Commission's decision prohibit or have the effect of prohibiting the provision of personal wireless services? The P&Z Commission would say no.

Commissioner Reinke asked Mr. Beazer to clarify his definition of area. Mr. Beazer reviewed the hearing discussion as it related to "area". Mr. Beazer noted there was no information presented by the applicant regarding strength of signal, etc. Commissioner Johnson asked about the missing information. Mr. Beazer reviewed the information submitted, information that was missing, and information that is generally submitted at the hearing. Commissioner Hall asked if the missing elements could have helped the P&Z Commission with their decision even though the basic application was complete. Mr. Beazer explained how he generally addresses an application with missing information and the information that is submitted as part of the applications. There was discussion regarding the application for appeal and the lack of notification of the required political subdivisions. Commissioner Johnson asked about additional information that was submitted as part of the appeal that was not provided to the P&Z Commission. Mr. Beazer noted that the applicant answered questions that the P&Z Commission addressed as part of their decision and provided new information in the statement for appeal that the P&Z Commission did not have during the hearing. The P&Z Commission was advised that they had the option to table the decision to give the applicant time to provide the information that was missing during the initial hearing.

Rock Schutjer, Applicant spoke and acknowledged that they could have been better prepared for the hearing. Mr. Schutjer stated that he had a power point that addressed the 3 points in the P&Z Commission's denial. Mr. Schutjer noted that his company is a partner with T-Mobile and the information in the power point has the information that show's T-Mobile's need for the tower. Commissioner Hall noted that the Board is supposed to look only at the record that was presented to the P&Z Commission and allowing new information would be challenging in the decision process. Commissioner Reinke concurred. Commissioner Johnson noted that the Conditional Use portion of the County Code, 8.16.8 a 11 discusses an alternate location analysis. If the information that the applicant has addresses that, the Board cannot consider it. Commissioner Johnson questioned if the Board should remand the decision back to the P&Z Commission due to the new information. There was discussion regarding the presentation, new information and the process the Board needs to follow and the information needed by the P&Z Commission if they do remand it. Mr. Schutjer noted the initial application was complete, however, what he would have to present today would be new information. Brian Hansen spoke and noted the coverage issue was discussed, however, a visual demonstration was not presented. There was further discussion about the Federal Telecommunications Act and the information presented at the hearing. Commissioner Johnson noted that he does not know if the items required in the county code gives the applicant enough information about what should be provided versus the information that the P&Z

Commission received. The visual demonstration adds significant impact to the presentation. Commissioner Hall stated that he felt that the P&Z Commission was not given enough information to make a better-informed decision. Mr. Schutjer expressed his frustration that the P&Z Commission did not table the decision to give the applicant time to provide further information toward the decision.

Brian Hansen spoke with the Board and discussed the Act and asked if the decision could be denied based upon the Act. Commissioner Hall stated that the Board has multiple options for a decision to include approval, denial or remand and the option for the applicant to have a Judicial review. Commissioner Hall noted the applicant has to prove a lack of coverage according to the Act. Commissioner Reinke noted that the P&Z Commission are volunteers without legal counsel and there is a difference between the two Boards. Commissioner Johnson noted that proof of the gap in coverage needs to be provided in a visual form that provides evidence for the record in order for the Commission to make an educated decision. The Board is unable to accept new information which is what the applicant has been presenting today.

The Board took a break from 2:00 p.m. to 2:05 p.m.

James Campbell spoke and noted he and his wife are the first deeded owners of Stricker Butte. Mr. Campbell asked the Board to consider the need, purpose, and the coverage. Mr. Campbell stated that if the tower saves one life, it is worth it. Mr. Campbell spoke in support of the application and stated the tower is needed and asked the Board to consider the need. Commissioner Hall noted that all of the members of the Board know Mr. Campbell and his wife, however, that would not affect their decision.

Kevin Kjar spoke in opposition to the appeal. Mr. Kjar stated that the applicant could have done a better job in the application process. Mr. Kjar reviewed the P&Z decision and the information lacking in the application and presentation at the hearing. Commissioner Hall reminded Mr. Kjar that the Board could not consider new information and what he was presenting was new information. Cameron Beazer, Staff confirmed that Mr. Kjar was discussing new information. Mr. Kjar discussed the tower and the FAA approval, co-location, and the coverage need. Mr. Kjar stated that he is also representing the Brewers and the Ropers. The Brewers did provide written comment. Mr. Kjar asked the Board to deny the application.

Joyce Rogers, 747 Blue Lakes Blvd Twin Falls, spoke in opposition to the appeal. Ms. Rogers owns property adjacent to the subject property. Ms. Rogers stated that information regarding the FAA, coverage information, or interference was not presented at the P&Z Commission hearing. Ms. Rogers asked if everyone at the hearing were noticed of the hearing today. Shannon Carter, Deputy Clerk clarified which notifications were done by the Applicant versus which notifications were done by the Commissioner's Office. Ms. Rogers asked the Board to send the decision back to the P&Z Commission. Ms. Rogers stated that she is in opposition to the tower.

Commissioner Hall asked the Applicant if he would like to add any further information or address the comments received. Rock Schutjer, Applicant addressed the FCC concerns. Mr. Schutjer requested the decision be remanded to the P&Z Commission to allow the applicant to present new information and clarify the previous information presented.

The Board discussed and made findings of fact for the following issues;

1. The Appeal

- a. Was this an Appealable Final Decision of the Planning and Zoning Commission? Yes, the Board found that the Planning and Zoning Commission's decision to deny the Conditional Use Permit was an appealable final decision.
- b. Was the application properly filed? Yes, the Board found the application was properly filed.
 - i. Was the appeal Complete? No, the Board found that the applicant did not notice all the required political subdivisions.
 - ii. Was the appeal Timely? Yes, the Board found that the appeal was timely.
 - iii. Did all required parties receive notice? No, the Board found that the applicant did not notice all the required political subdivisions.

2. Conditional Use Permit

- a. Which code applies? The Board found that Twin Falls County Code applies.
- b. Is conditional use allowed in the zone? Yes, the Board found that the conditional use was allowed.
- c. Did the CUP Application satisfy the express standards set forth in TFCC? No, the Board found that the CUP application did not satisfy the express standards set forth in TFCC.

3. Telecommunications Act of 1996

- a. Did the Planning and Zoning Commission consider the Telecommunications Act of 1996 in making their decision? No, the Board found the Planning and Zoning Commission did not consider the Telecommunications Act of 1996 in making their decision.
- b. Did the P&Z Commission discuss whether of the application "materially inhibits" the ability of a wireless carrier to provide "additional services or improving existing services"? No, the Board found that the P&Z Commission did not discuss whether of the application "materially inhibits" the ability of a wireless carrier to provide "additional services or improving existing services".

4. Decision of the Planning and Zoning Commission

- a. Did the Planning and Zoning decision make findings of fact consistent with Idaho Code 67-6535? Yes, the Board found that P&Z Commission made findings of fact to the best of their ability.

- b. Was the decision based upon express standards set forth in Twin Falls County Code? Yes, based upon the information presented the decision was based upon express standards set forth in Twin Falls County Code.
- c. Did the P&Z Commission review the particular facts and circumstances of the conditional use in terms of the standards outlined in Twin Falls County Code? Yes, the Board found the P&Z Commission reviewed the particular facts and circumstances of the conditional use in terms of the standards outlined in Twin Falls County Code.

Commissioner Hall reviewed the decision options to include affirm, reverse, modify, or remand.

Commissioner Johnson noted that based upon the new information that needs to be submitted, he would be comfortable remanding the decision to give the P&Z Commission the opportunity to make a decision with the full information. Commissioner Reinke concurred as did Commissioner Hall. Commissioner Hall noted the applicant stated that given the opportunity, they would address the gaps in information and provide answers to the questions asked that would lead the P&Z Commission to a better decision and would be in support of remanding.

Commissioner Johnson made a MOTION to remand the decision back to the P&Z Commission. Commissioner Reinke SECONDED. Discussion Commissioner Johnson noted that he felt the new information would guide the P&Z Commission to make a more complete decision. Commissioner Hall noted he would like to see the applicant address the FAA issue and consider an illustrated view of the coverage and the service gap information. In addition, the P&Z Commission cannot consider health issues as a factor in the decision as per the Act. The P&Z Commission also needs to review the Telecommunications Act and consider it in the decision. Motion Passed Unanimously.

There being no further business, the Board recessed until 8:00 a.m., March 20, 2024, at the Commissioners Chambers, second floor of the Twin Falls County West Facility, 630 Addison Ave West, Twin Falls, Idaho, for the transaction of further business of the Board.

Twin Falls, Idaho
REGULAR MARCH MEETING
March 20, 2024, 8:00 a.m.

Chairman Hall called the Board of County Commissioners to order at 8:00 a.m. in regular session, pursuant to the recess of March 19, 2024.

PRESENT: Commissioner Brent Reinke and Commissioner Don Hall.

ABSENT: Commissioner Jack Johnson.

STAFF: Deputy Clerk Shannon Carter.

The following proceedings were held to wit:

In the Matter of MEETINGS

Commissioner Hall attended a South Central Public Health Board meeting.
Commissioner Reinke attended Suicide Awareness Signage Ribbon Cutting at Perrine Bridge.

There being no further business, the Board recessed until 8:00 a.m., March 21, 2024, at the Commissioners Chambers, second floor of the Twin Falls County West Facility, 630 Addison Ave West, Twin Falls, Idaho, for the transaction of further business of the Board.

Twin Falls, Idaho
REGULAR MARCH MEETING
March 21, 2024, 8:00 a.m.

Chairman Hall called the Board of County Commissioners to order at 8:00 a.m. in regular session, pursuant to the recess of March 20, 2024.

PRESENT: Commissioner Brent Reinke and Commissioner Don Hall.

ABSENT: Commissioner Jack Johnson.

STAFF: Deputy Clerk Shannon Carter.

The following proceedings were held to wit:

In the Matter of MEETINGS

Commissioners attended an Opioid Committee meeting.
Commissioner Reinke attended a South Central Community Action Partnership Board meeting.
Commissioners met with Bob Beer, Facilities Director, for a weekly department update.

In the Matter of CONSENT AGENDA

Commissioners considered the Consent Agenda; items may include status sheets, employee requisitions, alcohol licenses, tax cancellations, Sheriff's Office Security Agreements, and Commissioner minutes.

Commissioner Reinke made a MOTION to approve the Consent Agenda. Commissioner Hall SECONDED. Discussion Commissioner Reinke reviewed the items in the Consent Agenda to include a Status Sheet for the Sheriff's Office and Commissioners Minutes for March 4, 2024 to March 8, 2024. Motion Passed Unanimously. (Johnson absent)

In the Matter of BUDGET

Commissioners considered the February 2024 accounts payables.

Kristina Glascock, Clerk, reviewed the February 2024 accounts payables with the Board in the amount of \$5,015,217.09.

Commissioner Reinke made a MOTION to approve the February 2024 accounts payables in the amount of \$5,015,217.09. Commissioner Hall SECONDED. Motion Passed Unanimously. (Johnson absent)

In the Matter of CONTRACTS

Commissioners awarded the bid for the Centennial Park Concessionaire Lease.

Rocky Matthews, Parks and Waterways Director reviewed the bid award with the Board. Mr. Matthews noted there was one bid received for the Concessionaire Lease and recommended the bid be awarded to AWOL. Mr. Matthews noted that the agreement includes a 30 day termination however, he was concerned that the draft contract does not include an option if the park is closed by the State as it was last year. Mr. Matthews suggested adding a pro-rated termination option should a closure from the State happen. Commissioner Hall noted that the current draft of the contract, which was included as part of the bid documents, did not include that option. Should something like that happen, Commissioner Hall felt that the Board would work with Mr. Melni and treat him fairly. Paul Melni, Owner of AWOL spoke with the Board and described the partnership and working relationship that AWOL has with the State and the monitoring of the Quagga mussel issue.

Commissioner Reinke made a MOTION to award the bid for the Centennial Park Concessionaire Lease agreement to AWOL. Commissioner Hall SECONDED. Commissioner Reinke thanked Mr. Melni for his cooperation and work with partner agencies. Motion Passed Unanimously. (Johnson absent)

In the Matter of LEASE AGREEMENTS

Commissioners considered the final payment from BRP Health Management Systems' Amended Repayment Agreement.

Commissioner Hall reviewed the final payment from BRP Health Management Systems' Amended Repayment Agreement. Commissioner Hall also reviewed the history of the partnership with BRP and noted the repayment agreement was the result of that partnership. Commissioner Hall expressed his appreciation to BRP for Health Management Systems for their continued payment and fulfillment of the agreement. Commissioner Reinke concurred. (Johnson absent)

There being no further business, the Board recessed until 8:00 a.m., March 22, 2024, at the Commissioners Chambers, second floor of the Twin Falls County West Facility, 630 Addison Ave West, Twin Falls, Idaho, for the transaction of further business of the Board.

Twin Falls, Idaho
REGULAR MARCH MEETING
March 22, 2024, 8:00 a.m.

Chairman Hall called the Board of County Commissioners to order at 8:00 a.m. in regular session, pursuant to the recess of March 21, 2024.

PRESENT: Commissioner Brent Reinke, and Commissioner Don Hall.

ABSENT: Commissioner Jack Johnson.

STAFF: Deputy Clerk Mable Shurtleff.

The following proceedings were held to wit:

In the Matter of MEETINGS

Commissioner Reinke attended a WEMA meeting.

Commissioner Hall attended a Public Policy Committee – Legislative Update meeting.

Commissioner Hall attended an Adult Crisis Center Advisory Board meeting.

There being no further business, the Board recessed until 8:00 a.m., March 25, 2024, at the Commissioners Chambers, second floor of the Twin Falls County West Facility, 630 Addison Ave West, Twin Falls, Idaho, for the transaction of further business of the Board.